1880
(L.R.)

File No 12 of 80
Serial No. 1-20.

Sub:- Redistribution of Certain Shambhagi villages in Kalavara Vantu in Chikaballapur Taluk

100

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

**File No.** 12 of 1880

**Serial Nos.** 1-20.

191

(Land Rev ~~Rev. Ins~~)

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.*

**Part B for**

SUBJECT.

Redistribution of certain Shanbhogi villages Kolar and Tumkur in Chickballapur Taluk.

Indexed

Narasinga Rao

W D 83—GPE—50,000—22-7-16

No. 233

Memo

(1)

U.S. Government
Section
File No.
Serial No.

Special Appellate Case No. 7 of 1877-78.

Special Appellant
Ramchandra, Sambaje of Talwara in the District of Jun Talat
(Original Plaintiff)

Special Respondent
Venkatrayappa of the same place.
(Original Defendant)

The facts of the case are briefly as follows:—

Plaintiff is the sole Sambagre and Venkatrayappa is the Sakar or village Sambagre of the aforesaid, acted as village in the District of Jun Talat. In consequence of a dispute arose between the two parties, in regard to the rights of collecting Airafitines from the lands, Plaintiff requested a Commissioner to adjudicate the charge of village between

1. Talwara
2. Ramiganahalli
3. Kuchahalli
4. Hegganahalli
5. Bodahalli
6. Kowdarahalli
7. Jinnamanahalli

Petition of 8-2-75.

in proportion to
the shares enjoyed by each
of them. Mr Nanajipah
the Asst Commissioner, to whom
the above petition was referred
for enquiry, after examining the
necessary accounts reported
that the Commissioner enjoyed
by the Plaintiff and defendant
amounted to Rs 121-10-0 and
Rs 20-5-0 respectively but
that the Inam lands (valued
at 8 Pagodas and 4 Fanams)
had been enjoyed by them in equal
shares. The Asst Commr
suggested that it would be
advantageous in the interests
of the Religious institution
the villages in the proportion
of 4/5th and 1/5th respectively
as this arrangement would,
in his opinion be equivalent
to the Commission actually
enjoyed by them. He

No 28. Dt. 1-2-75.

No 1329 Dt. 19-2-75.

No. 188 of 24-2-21

(3)

91

The Deputy Commissioner after personally examining the parties and the people in the case, observed that the entries made in the Birth Register produced by Plaintiff were not quite reliable as he never registered a child of the Cape Verde as was shown in the said Account and decided that the Instruments should be divided equally between the in the same manner as the Commissioner had done into parts. In order to obviate the inconvenience arising from the existence of the two Chauffeurs in a village and to prevent the recurrence of such, Mr Kristian Sager formed the originally sized village into 2 Sections and allotted No. 1 to Plaintiff and No. II to Defendant

Schedule No. 3 Commissioner

| Column of Village - | Amount Paid |
|---|---|
| 1 Salomon | 9 — 2 — 6 |
| 2 Tomatchaly | 54 — 12 — 0 |
| 3 Chadiabaly | 2 — 18 — 2 |
| 4 Jadatimandaly | 28 — 13 — 1 |
| | 8 — " — " |
| Schedule No. II - To Ventura Figueiredo - | 97 — 13 — 3 |
| 1 Chinjimandaly | 46 — " — " |
| 2. Gantimandaly | 29 — " — " |
| 3. Chitabaly | 20 — " — " |
| | 95 — " — " |

3. Plaintiff appealed to the Commissioner of Lands against the above decision 27-4-76.

4 The Deputy Commissioner to whom the petition was referred for report, explained the case as above stated and added that he authorized the apportionment of the villages in pursuance of the Chief Commissioner's ruling. 27-5-76.

5. Colonel Prince passed the following order:-

"The shares held by these Shambogues respectively in the Inam land is undoubtedly the best guide as regards the other emoluments to which they were originally entitled, therefore the Dy Commissioner should so arrange as, in dividing the

(5)

villages, to equalize their income from the lands. We have invited Raiments the man who formerly had most chiefs and Redcent Tate one who had most – to more equal division than this cannot be justifiable and the arrangement should at once be rectified and a more equal distribution of the villages should be carried out"

6. The Commissioners represent the effect (on the Abitibiners) to continue a plurality of villages, frequently in reference to the foregoing orders of the Commissioners

7. The statement furnished by the Commissioners are entitled to the consideration of the Dy by the Secretary of State with a remark that as

Dy 11-8-74

Plaintiff did not give his consent to the division of the villages as now pleaded, it should be enforced.

8 Colonel Pearce approved of the last arrangement as being equitable.

9. Plaintiff filed a review petition but it was rejected by the Commr on the ground that the case was decided after full consideration.

10 Plaintiff now appeals to the Chief Commissioner on the following grounds:-

(1) That the Commrs office in question together with the documents he attached thereto were by himself and his ancestors from time immemorial enjoyed

(2) That when the system of Barranga cultivation came to force in the days of Poligar of Chickballapur one of the ancestors of Respts

Group No 1 to Ramachandrappa

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Kalavara | 54 | 2 | 10 |
| 2 Kantigowanahalli | 29 | " | " |
| 3 Buchahalli | 20 | " | " |
| Total | 83 | 2 | 10 |

Group No 2 to Venkatappa

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Singlapanahalli | 46 | " | " |
| 2. Sodahalli | 28 | 13 | 4 |
| 3. Kurbarhalli | 2 | 13 | 1 |
| 4. Gottimannanahalli | 2 | " | " |
| Total | 79 | 10 | 5 |

Dy — Decided for

No 5 of 2 of 8 Lands Dy —
(No 4 of 35, 5 of 20, 5 of 85 Dy 8 of 77 Dy
also 6 of 30 Lands in Dy case, and of

(7)

were so at a loss in consequence of the Fire, which destroyed the greater part of the Christian Chronicle of the Village in Jessore; Every time a Defendant family sight a Copy of the Genealogy which the Plaintiff's Ancestors had prepared, and Enjoyed a title, Emancipation.

(3) That when Defendant Ancestors had begun to collect Bairagi (Emancipation) from the Rajah Under the Name of Parbana Sana, in 1838 the matter was brought to the notice of the Superintendent of the Zila Bangalore Division and they were prohibited from doing so.

(4) That Plaintiff (Sri) is unable to understand that, when not Accused the Abolition of the office of Sirkar Chandigari, why his Name has been not Recorded, instead of keeping the petitioner family of the

Shamlogue Chief of the 2 villages allotted to Alfred.

Under the above circumstances Plaintiff respectfully submits that the arrangements which have been objected, may be ordered to be continued until the Survey and settlement takes place, or such settlement as has been made about the similar Shamlogs in the Surveyed Talukas adopted in this Case.

11 The Plaintiff have not been able to find any other order referred to by the Judge in his No 27. 5. 76 Plaintiff submit for approval a draft as to the Judge suggests the number and date of the C.E's order referred to by him

Chief Commissioner

No. 233

In Reply to papers. In the Bombay Judge's Court in the Sindhu Valley Talukas Rohri and Sukkur. Plaintiff's application to the Judge Commissioner, is deferred pending inquiry in Court for further Lt. Col. Appeal petition

76

Indorsed 4/25 93/8/11

No. 316.

Memo in Intimation of the Govt. of India dated 5/8/77

(9)

in the office Secret No. 552/249 dated 9th August, 1877, the Government of India was pleased to grant the Secretary of State for India in Council, referred to by him in the Secret No. 14 of the 27th May, 1876 of the action of the Commissioners of the Mauritius and to state the Government-in-Council Chandernagore would not include in the list submitted by him to the Commissioner in accordance with para 3 of the office Proceedings No. 552/349 dated 5th October, 1874.

2

13. The Reply to the 2nd Comm.
states that the rates figured
to in para 4 above are
those agreed in Chief
Comm.r's Proceedings No 3512/342
dated 5th March 1912 and
that the names of Ramajendraya
and Kattayyaiah were
not included in the list
of Supernumerary Chaprasies
submitted in accordance with
the office Proceedings No 5520/349
dated 5th October 1912 hence
they are not entitled as
Supernumerary Chaprasies
doing more than Chaprasi
duty but are Chaprasies
actually doing this legitimate
duties supplying cream and
Juices

No. 1215/1915 dt 11/8/15

14. The Chief Commissioner's
order No 3512/342 dated 5th

a Sepoy Sub

(11)

Marsh, as registered by the Commissioner of Marsh Circuit, and it seems have been issued under the following circumstances — The Canal of the Cathedral Church contained of the affection they were entitled to by the Rectors of Ecclesiastical, we beg that such the Marsh Rights and

No Ex. of 1-2-72. privileges that in the appropriate might be obtained having only one Ecclesiastical for each village —

5. The Commissioners of the Solar Revenue, to whom the above petition was forwarded by the Commissioner of the Landing Ac. stated that the Direct of the Cultivators are a very numerous and that

No 7157/269 of office

of these figures were
decided to, it would not
only, deform the
expression employed of,
but would also, cause the
side of divided, responsibility
the that word and
bitter bondage arising
with and allowing each
other whenever any neglect
of duty or fraud be brought
to light; but the Spiritual
Establishment, never
existed not only in Jerusalem
but also in the Church
which formed portions in
former days, the object of
Paul, in affirming
bitter bondage being
apparently to exercise
some effect over the

(13)

that disadvantage efficiency
with regard to Sitting
Criticism is there, it was
apprehended, there was
much room for gentlemen.
The representations suggested
that in the Chicago case
we are no longer in District
in United States and
we probably shall appear
in United States, it
would be preferable, therefore
that that and Conference
hold the office jointly, or
divide the charge between
them with, deference to the
Sentiments expressed by
each, merely adding we
have responsible for the
Charge entrusted to him.
10. The Committee is
pending in following

Copy of the above Report, was of opinion that the arrangement proposed by the Commissioner might be authorized in all cases where it could be carried out with facility and without objection on the part of the Shareholders concerned. After some correspondence between the Bank and the Office, the Scheme of Commissioner proposal for the sub-division of Mortgage shares was approved.

17. Permit the Commissioner of Sales might to have included the name of Bartlemeahal (original allottee) in the list of Supernumerary Mortgagees submitted by him to the

Vide Comm. No. 2257, 5-2-95.

(15)

Consideration of the Amending
Act — I cannot, of course,
be aware of the precise case
submitted to the Attorney
General between the Land
and Income Tax Commissioners,
without the decision of the
Court being obtained
for the asking. However,
the distinction drawn by
Mr. Hastings between
Supplementary Charges
which, being assessed in
Cash and those which are
based upon a Rental
to be inadmissible, for it matters
no difference whether the
Charge is assessed
in Cash or in Land, and
there is no reason why
the Statutory Supplementary
Charges should
be discharged while those

the same case could be retained simply because they are in the enjoyment of land revenue. Reading para 2 and 3 of Govt's order No 5527/349 dated 31st October 1919 together, I think it is evident that no distinction was contemplated between salaried servants and those who were in enjoyment of emoluments in lieu. It may perhaps be considered desirable to allow matters to remain as they are until the Survey-Settlement, in which case a final no division of charges might be allowed to be effected by the Revenue authorities pending such

In. Pending appeal the existing arrangement relating to the issue of the order of 3 Dec 1919 shall be in abeyance that is to say a case in the circumstances presented under a appeal is pending an appeal in his case, in accordance with the Orders of the Government of India such time as the appeal is disposed of, the leave granted for complaint

Settlement.

Chancery

24/9/77

(17)

as stated above
are inclined to
the distribution of
the Shaftesbury charge
on appeal.
The arrangements which have
been made under the
Court order may be
left undisturbed. We
are already informed
in a recent case before
from the Lordships
that the proceeds of the
Sale of Shaftesbury
charges will be made
feeding the Money
settlement. A copy of
the order referred to may
be communicated to
Handsworth if this
has not been done already

J. W.

I mean in the above
views what may
be expected in a short
decision on the Special
Appeal, ~~[illegible]~~ without
the order based by the
Lords on the Debt Lords
revised distribution of the
Assets & Investments of the
L. Shaftesbury & disposing of the
Appeal Chancery Cases 17.12.77

Minute in continuation of Secretary's letter

(18)

No. 1 of the letter of the 9th dated the 5th September last, the Survey Superintendent reported the Survey Commissioner's instructions not to differ in calculating Kanungo Commutation fees in the Village Kanungos, the Mustafi Patwari in the Department of the Patwari Staff Kanungos, as entered into account.

19 The Survey Commissioner called for information on the following points:—

(1) What duties do the Sikka Kanungos perform and how are they assigned?

(2) Are their emoluments secure? Attested by Court orders or are they

village Weights.

(3) Are Civil Weights or Civil Measures (Village Measures) performed and are and have and the office signature are they Weights?

20 In reply the Survey Department ~~[illegible]~~ registered ~~[illegible]~~ forwarded that "Civil Weights" are ordinary "Village Weights" and where they were performed duties according that the office have been in existence so far back as the Department have any record and that they are village Weights

(2) Where there is no village Measures the Civil Measures performed are duties pertaining to the office of the former.

(3) Where there is already a village Measures, Civil Measures appears to keep the

No 53 of 27-10-77

(20)

Commission in the Province of Ireland in the registration of Mortgages and the detailed statements of the Judges in his charge.

(4) That there is no, need or to the office of Crown Mortgages signalled that the Department of Colonial State that there is no, need to divide that in the time of the Regime (more recent first Century) they were appointed to check the accounts of the Judges Mortgages and that Crown Mortgages paid in Kolkata were the Regime formerly paid.

(5) That in fact the Superintendent Engineer and Chief in order that there are great confusion amongst the Mortgages and that in future there must be

any

the village Chantyaforsan village; that the number of Chinese Chantyas had also much increased and that one and nearly a dollar be appointed for each Sangha; that statements should be submitted according to "that statements are prepared and submitted in 1887; that that no further action seems to have been taken in the matter.

6th That the Chinese Chantyas hold service lands in many of their villages and have done so as far back as the oldest inhabitants, Hindu and Europe it is presumed that they are Watandars.

7. Colonel Anderson submits the foregoing correspondence for Sing

No 1897 29-N-77

(22) Comments where with the following elements:

(i) Were the Sculptures should be assigned for village devices in preference of these being no village or "Sati" sculpture is opposed to the status of a village no difficulty will arise.

(ii) But the case will occur in which the various sculptures are in pieces of different representations. If these pieces were of different creation, they might, on another hand, be avoided but given the lights reported it appears that these sculpture sculptures are of ancient date; the series of these also are in pieces of different representations can be traced to no record and it seems that the

best course will be to deal with their cases under Clause 7 of the ~~[illegible]~~ × Rules and confirm them as Subsidiary. The Survey Commr. says that if the above course is sanctioned, lists of the Subsidiary Kanungos whose services are not in future required, with their emoluments will be prepared and forwarded to the Revenue Deptt. as soon as the permanent Remuneration of the Village officers of the Gorakhpur District is settled.

× ? shd be VIII

22 I think the Survey Commr's proposal may be adopted, but before doing so I think it will be well to refer

(24) The Convey Lands' letter (an original) to the Convey Standing Committee in Prison with reference to the Johnson correspondence ending with the Spec. No. 392 & 473 of 24th Ultimo.

C. Hamilton Esq
5/1/78

Yes, please do so. —

7.1.78

Please see next sheet.

(24)

23 As directed above, the Survey Commissioner's Letter No. 332 of 29th Octobr last, was forwarded in extenso to the Commissioner, stating Division for opinion with reference to the previous correspondence relating to the office No. 332 / 20-4-93 of 29th December 1893.

Minute No. 8/8 / 93 of 17th January 1898

24 In reply, the Survey Commissioner states that after consultation with the Commissioner of Kolar, he concurs in the Survey Commissioner's proposal that in the case of land with the Lutkan or North Hemisphere as referred to in Circular of Instructions,

24

* Minute No. 23 / 1900 February 1898

(25)

this income may be treated
under Clause F of Para VII
(Part III) of the Income Rules,
and consequently in a
Court court which, in con-
= sideration of the circum-
= stances, might be fixed
at $\frac{1}{3}$.

In Oman the
Income Rules the
fractional portion
below ½ are
¼ & ⅛. – ⅓
is not – prescribed.
Would be advisable
to limit to fix the
L.R. at ½ in
assessment as
suggested by the
Survey Commr.

C.F. Thompson
Commr. – 19.2.28

Ch. Comr 22.2.28

22.2.28

(General Serial No. 33.)

No. 6334
P. 687

(25)

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.
Rev: DEPARTMENT,

# Official Memorandum.

Section

The accompanying petition No. 2752, dated 20th July 1881, received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Vithalappa Ram Son of Ramappa residing at Kotekal Chittaldrug District is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Revenue Commr. for the Division of Belgaum with Remarks & claiming action took place in regard to the claim referred to the death of the Petitioner's father.

By Order,

BANGALORE,
27th July 1881.

for Sec: Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—13-4-80—1,000.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
JUN. 81

18

From the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To

Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,

Department.

Dated 27th May

Despatched 28th May

Received 2/6

Enclosures No. Ends sent separately

General No. 258
No. 108 } 1881–82

Submitting report regarding the complaint of Seshagiri Rao residing at Pinchahalli, Ch. Ballapur Taluk.

2.6.81

Verandar file

No. 258/108

(23)

Deputy Comm's Office
Kolar District
Kolar 27th May '81.

To
The Secretary to Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the Revenue Secretary's Memo. No. 6334/8687 dated 27th January last, forwarding for report a petition from Shishagiri Rao, son of Ramappa, residing at Bachahalli, Chikballapur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. The original records of the case show that the petitioner's father Ramappa, who was the Sthala Shanbog of Gandigana-halli, Suddahalli and Bachahalli died in November 1874, and his eldest son Govinda Rao, who was employed in the Public Works Department filed an application in the Taluk for the Shanbhog-ship being registered in the name

name of his brother (the petitioner) who was then a minor, and for permission to appoint a Gumasti to conduct the duties during the latter's minority. In the meantime, Venkataramappa, a Sarkar Shanbhog, applied to the Amildar to permit him to do the duties of the deceased till the petitioner attains his majority on the ground of his being the Sarkar Shanbhog of these and other villages. The Amildar reported the matter for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner who required further information regarding the duties and emoluments of the Sarkar and Sthala Shanbhogs. But it appears that the Amildar submitted no reply to this reference and Venkataramappa continued to officiate as Sthala Shanbhog for some years.

8. In 1876, a dispute arose between Venkataramappa,

* No Amildar appears to have submitted the reply referred to in the order of the Deputy Commissioner, dated 18th May 1876.

(24) Venkatarayappa, the Karnam of the Shanbog and Ramachandraiya a Concin of the deceased and other Shanbogs of Kalavara and other villages, and a distribution of the villages as noted in the margin was effected between

| Ramachandraya's share | Venkataraya's share | |
|---|---|---|
| Name of Village | Name of Village | |
| 1. Kalavara | 1. Mailappanahalli | Upon with a view to obviate the confusion and hard-ship re-sulting from the |
| 2. Gantiganahalli | 2. Siddahalli | |
| 3. Kutchahalli | 3. Kumbarahalli | |
| | 4. Jodi Jadatimma-nahalli | |

interference of two families for the affairs of one and the same village. This arrangement which is still in force has been confirmed on appeal by the Chief Commissioner in Proceedings No. 3. 20/473 of 24 December 1899 according to which no division of Shanbogé Charges should be made pending the Survey settlement. My predecessor directed the petitioner in October 1880 to prefer his

Claim

claim at the time of Money Settle-
-ment.

4. The original records of the case together with the various papers of the Memo: under report are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most obedt Svt,
W. Macdougall
Deputy Commissioner
Polar Wtr

H.R.

(31)

Section
File No.
Serial No.

No. 2601/136 & 27 June 81

Read:—

Letter No. 288/108 of the 27th May 1881 from the Dy. Commr. of the Kolar Distt. submitting report regarding the complaint of Sheshagiri Rao of Kutchahally in the Chikballapur Taluk in regard to his father's Shanbogueship.

Order thereon:- The Petr's case is not one relating to the sub-division of village amongst the members of the same Shanbogue's family, but, ~~[illegible]~~ his reference to his right which ~~[illegible]~~ is stated to have been usurped by the Sirkar Shanbogue Venkatnyappa. The disposal of the case has been needlessly delayed. The ~~[illegible]~~ division of village made between the Petitioner's cousin Ramachendra & Venkatnyappa does not affect the Petitioner's

CR 23/6

2

1881

claim. If the matter has not already been taken up by the Survey Deptt. the Dy Comr is requested to enquire into, and decide on, the claims of the parties concerned, reporting the result to this Office.

Secy

To The Dy Commr,
Kolar District.
with the Canarese proceedings received from him.

From the Dy Commr Kolar

No 27 June 1881

No 2601/136.

(100.

(35)

OFFICE OF THE
28.SEP.81
DIWAN OF MYSORE

188 / 5203

From

To

Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner,~~ Diwan ~~General Department.~~ of Mysore

Dated 20 September

Despatched

Received

**Enclosures No. /.**

General No. 947 / No. 390 } 187 —7 .

To Diwan's Order. Pg 1/136
27 January 81.

Subject Memo, extent inheritance the villages of the Kadaram Tanks Shanbhoga Chikkanthapur &c.

28. 9. 81

Noted

S.C.

1/10

28/9

No. 949/390

(31)

Deputy Commr's Office
Nagar District
Nagar 22nd September
1881.

To

The Secretary to the Diwán
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the Diwán's order No. 2601/136 dated 27th June last, I have the honor to attach copy of a decision passed by me to-day, redistributing the villages of the Kalasa-ara Vanác, Shánbhogé, Chikkallapur Sale Kumar, among the disputing parties.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Vaxinimiyengar
Deputy Commissioner

Depy. P

35

# Memorandum.

Read English correspondence ending with the Diwan's order No. 2601/36 dated 27th June 1881.

Read vernacular record on the Shánbhog of the Nalavara vantu, Chikballápur Taluk, ending with the Amildar's report No. 57 dated 21st April 1881.

The parties are all before me, and have been heard.

## Order.

The vantu consists of 7 villages, viz.

(1) Nalavara,
(2) Jailappanhalli,
(3) Ganigananhalli,
(4) Bachahalli,
(5) Siddahalli,
(6) Nirnibarhalli,
(7) Jadi Timmanhalli.

There were 2 Shanbhogs for the vantu, viz. 1 Sarkar Shanbhog represented at present by Venkatarayappa, son of Ramappa, and one Atal Shanbhog represented by (1) Krishnappa, and (2) Shahagiri Rao. It became necessary to divide the villages among the parties, in order to avoid the same village having 2 Shanbhogs. Under the orders of the Chief Commissioner, an

an inquiry was instituted, and the late Assistant Commissioner, Mr. Nanaya, thought the claim of the Stal Shanbhog was superior, in that his emoluments were greater than those of the Sarkar Shanbhog. The late Deputy Commissioner, however, found that the amount of emoluments recorded in the Register of 1864-5 were fictitious, and that the amount of inam land enjoyed by each party should be considered the best guide. This principle was also accepted by the Commissioner of the late Mundiadry Division, vide order No. 64 dated 18th August 1876.

2. The Stal Shanbhog's inam is the same as that of the Sarkar Shanbhog. Hence Mr. Krishnayar divided the villages as below, in 1876.

| No. | Sarkar Shanbhog | Revenue Rs. As. P. | No. | Stal Shanbhog | Revenue Rs. As. P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Mailappanahalli | 766 12 | 1 | Kalavara | 23 9 6 |
| 2 | Siddahalli | 480 0 | 2 | Gantiganahalli | 334 0 |
| 3 | Kambarahalli | 29 4 9 | 3 | Bachahalli | 78 4 0 |
| 4 | Jadasimmanahalli | 9 0 0 | | | |
| | | 1293 12 7 | | | 1387 8 7 |

3. Shankaraji Rao is undoubtedly entitled

to

(37) to ½ of the Ellal Chambhoy. He is descended from the same Chambhoy ancestor as Krishnappa, to whom the division of 1876 allotted the above 3 villages. I agree with Mr. Krishnaiyar in disbelieving the correctness of the emoluments entered in the Register of 1864-5. It is quite notorious that that Register was prepared at high pressure by the Chambhoys themselves, who hoped to magnify their receipts by entering what they fancied they were entitled to. The inam basis is undoubtedly the best.

4. I hold therefore that in the Vanis in question, the Kottar Chambhoy is entitled to ½, while the Ellal Chambhoys are entitled to the other moiety. Krishnappa and the Petitioner Sheshagiri Rai should therefore each get a quarter of the vantu.

5. But it is impossible to equally divide the Ellal ½ above shown. One of villages has a being of Rs 937, while the 2 others barely make up one-half of it. Krishnappa must fall to Sheshagiri Rai's share

share, as he lives in it. I therefore allot the villages as follows:—

| | | R. | a. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Shal Ricchappa | Halawa | 357 | 9 | 6 |
| 2. ditto Sheshagiri Rao (1) Kuchaballi (Kyamgutta) | | 75 | 4 | — |
| (2) Kuddaballi | | 180 | 1 | 10 |
| (3) Kurubar-halli | | 27 | 14 | 9 |
| (4) Jadé Lin-manhalli | | 19 | — | — |
| | | 602 | 4 | 7 |
| 3. Sankar Venkatagappa (1) Mailaigar-halli | | 766 | 12 | — |
| (2) Gantigana-halli | | 354 | 11 | 1 |
| | | 1121 | 7 | 1 |

6. It is impossible to make any other appropriate and equitable division. It is contended that No. 1 is entitled to 2 shares, and No. 2 to 1 share in the Mai money, but I cannot recognize the claim of No. 1 to the share of another branch of the family, which is not in possession.

7. A copy of this order should be sent to the Diwan for information, with reference to the order quoted above.

(Sd.) V. C. Rama[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Mysore
22nd September
1881

"Copy"

V. C. Rama[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Mysore
[illegible]

39

Read :-

Subject
File No
Serial No

Letter from the Dy. Commr. of the Kolar Distt., No. 949/390 of the 22nd September 1881.

C. No. 5893/154 Pol. & Estate

Order thereon:
The distribution of the Shanbagne villages between the Sirdar and State Shanbagues of the Kalavara Pattu Chikballapur Talluk, reported in the above letter seems equitable and is approved —

22/10/81

Sd/-

To. The Dy. Commr. Kolar Distt.

R 6

The Deputy Comm-
Kolar Dt

No 5893/154

dt 21 October 1859

Despt

Approving of the distribution of the Nairs of villages of the Kalavara Nadu Chikkanayakanahalli

(41)

1882

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar

To the Diwan Secretary to ~~Chief Commissioner of~~ Mysore, Revenue Department.

Dated 28 } December 1882
Despatched 29 }
Received

**Enclosures No. 41**

General No. 1195 } 18 —8 .
No. 524

Submitting his report on the petition of Venkatappa, Channappa & Kuppanna, village Chikkatagur, Sidlaghatta

30.1289

Sd/ Secy

Sd/ Secy

Sd/ 31-12-82

Forward to Mr Chief Secy with the request that the Inspector General of Forests may be requested to report

Mr Chief Secy is requested to inform the Inspector General of Forests when the report is submitted

Sd/ 6/1

The report was furnished by the Conservator of Forests on the 6th & the Secy to the Govt informed on 9/1/83

No. 1195
524

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Nolar District
Nolar 28th December 1882

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Nolar District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith your official Memorandum No. 589, dated 21st instant, forwarding for report a petition from Sheikh Hazrat Wali, Shanbhog of Nigalagundalli village, Chikballapur Taluk. Please the Government that the petitioner makes the complaint for the concerned of the settlement of the Shanbhogi registered in my Lines No. 590 of the 22nd September and upheld of by the Dewan in Proceedings No. 5873/04 of the 26th October 1881.

2. I do not see any reason to reopen the case nor to disturb the arrangement made after due enquiry, in the face since of the parties concerned, which has been finally approved by the Government.

3. The enclosures of the Memo are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner of Nolar

[illegible]

COURT FEE
ONE RUPEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

11.10.81

No. 1920
11-1-83

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] |
|---|---|---|---|
| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] | [illegible] |

[illegible]



(17)

*Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agricultural and Commerce, 30th October 1874.*

MEMORANDUM BY THE SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT COMMISSIONER OF MYSORE, NO. 1569, DATED POONA, 12TH OCTOBER 1874.

*The following correspondence is submitted for the orders of the Chief Commissioner.*

2. It appears that in the Doderi taluk, 14 amani shanbhogs are kept up on pay varying from Rs. 2-7-0 to Rs. 1-3-0 a month, the total cost being Rs. 348-5-0 a year. The employment of these men appears to date probably from the time of Dewan Purnaiya; their offices are stated to be now not hereditary. Their duties appear to be of a miscellaneous nature; six remain with the hobli shekdars to assist them in writing reports; it is well known that ability to write is not by any means an universal qualification among the shekdars, though doubtless it is intended to be so; the other eight appear to be employed in the District head-quarter cutcherry where their presence can hardly be necessary.

3. The services of these men are either not required, or if required should be properly remunerated. Men do not serve for Rs. 1 and 2 a month alone; they have no other source of legitimate income, it must be therefore presumed that they find an equivalent for their services from some other unrecognized and illegitimate source.

4. I would suggest that the services of these 14 amani shanbhogs be at once dispensed with, giving each man a gratuity of three months' pay, and a register be kept of the names and qualifications of any of them fit for employment as officiators in case of any vacant places having to be filled up and no qualified person being found among the Vatandari shanbhogs.

5. From the last para of the Nagar Commissioner's letter of the 17th November 1873, it would appear that similar lowly-paid officials exist in other taluks, it might be advisable to ascertain if this is the case. None have been before met with in settled taluks as far as I am aware.

*From the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, Mysore, to the Survey and Settlement Commissioner of Mysore, No. 827, dated Dharwar, 11th November 1873.*

I have the honor, with reference to your Memo No. 1577 of the 9th October 1873, to submit the report originally called for in your letter No. 1239 of the 16th August 1873.

2. I have received from the Amildar of the Doderi taluk an old statement, prepared in A.D. 1862-3, probably by the orders of the Dewan Purnaiya, although it is not easy to make out the seal on the document. The statement in question shows the name and pay of certain Government servants in the taluk sub-division, but it is of no use in helping to identify the appointment of the nine sarkari shanbhogs now employed in the revenue work of the Doderi taluk. I have, however, obtained from the Amildar information to the following effect:—

(1) The appointment of the nine shanbhogs in question was originally made under the orders of the Superintendent of the Division, but the orders are not now forthcoming.

(2) Their pay ranges from Rs. 1-3-0 to Rs. 2-7-0 per mensem.

(3) There is one of these shanbhogs to each magni, and his duty is to make the goshwar of the magai from the village returns sent in by the village shanbhogs.

(4) The shanbhogs employed in the District Office are supposed to be employed in work connected with the accounts of the Doderi taluk, when received in the district.

(5) The whole of the nine shanbhogs are included in the taluk baravard, the pay of those residents at the District-head-quarters being remitted to them when drawn.

(6) Of the whole nine there are now only two who were the original incumbents, the remaining seven having been appointed from time to time by the District Superintendent.

(7) In the case of an appointment becoming vacant through death or other cause, the vacancy is filled up by the appointment of one of the family of the late officiator, but only in case of his being fit for the work, due qualification being the first consideration.

3. I have not obtained from the Amildar any information regarding the qualifications of the present incumbents; this information might be more satisfactorily obtained by the Deputy Commissioner.

4. The shanbhogs under report are, so far as I can ascertain, not at all required, as the duties supposed to be performed by them can easily be performed by the regular establishment.

*Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agricultural and Commerce, 30th October 1874.*

5. I contemplate visiting the Chitaldrug district, at no very distant date, when, should you consider it necessary, I can make some further enquiries in conjunction with the Deputy Commissioner.

*From the Survey and Settlement Commissioner, to the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, No.* 1881, *dated Poona,* 14*th November* 1873.

Captain Grant is requested to report further regarding these shanbhogs after visiting the district. The main point for the future is, to what purpose can these people be turned. Captain Grant will consult with the Deputy Commissioner regarding this; they might be useful if qualified to fill vacancies in taluk establishment, the hereditary tenure being abolished, or they might be employed perhaps as shanbhogs when additional officiators are necessary.

*From the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, to the Survey and Settlement Commissioner of Mysore, No.* 857, *dated Camp Sorab,* 30*th November* 1873.

With reference to your Memo No. 1881 of the 14th November 1873, and my letter No. 827 of the 11th * idem, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted further correspondence on the subject of the sarkar shanbhogs of the Doderi taluk.

* Herewith returned Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's No. 535 of 4th November 1873.
Nagar Division Commissioner's No. 478 of 17th November 1873.

*From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District, to the Officiating Commissioner of the Nagar Division, No.* 535, *dated Chitaldrug,* 4*th November* 1873.

Adverting to your Docket No. 335, dated 11th ultimo, requesting an explanation regarding the shanbhogs alluded to by Colonel Anderson, in para 5 of his letter to the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner, No. 1237, dated 16th August 1873, I have the honor to submit the following report.

II. From a reference to the "Faisalati accounts" of the year Raudri (1860-61, as well as), from the report of the Acting Amildar of Doderi, No. 604, dated 10th ultimo, it is understood that there are 14 "Amani" shanbhogi offices in that taluk, whose monthly salary varies from Rs. 2-7-0 to Rs. 1-3-0. The total monthly pay of these 14 shanbhogs is Rs. 29-0-5 which amounts to Rs. 348-5-0 per annum. These offices are reported to have been in existence since the time of the "Pallegars," and to have been hereditary for some time, but afterwards they discontinued to be so.

During the year 1858, a question having arisen as regards the existence of these minor officials, Colonel Dobbs, then Superintendent of the late Chitaldrug Division, passed an order No. 302, dated 19th January 1858, directing that they might be kept up and that they should be posted as follows:—

Six men to remain with the six hobli shekdars and assist them in writing out reports, &c., as also to prepare abstracts of the accounts received from the village shanbhogs, and submit them to the taluk cutcherry.

Three to be "Mutsaddis" under the "Kotvals" at Sanekere, Challakere and Tallak on the Bangalore-Bellary road.

Four to be incorporated with the village shanbhog offices, and these men were to receive the Government pay as well as aya from raiyats.

One to remain in the taluk cutcherry for assisting in writing business.

III. The abovesaid arrangements appear to have been since altered, and now these officials are doing duty as herein undermentioned:—

Six men are employed in the taluk cutcherry generally in assisting the taluk munshi, Nagadi and Revenue Gumastas in their respective duties.

Six men are employed in the six shekdar hoblis; some being as well village shanbhogs, write their accounts and some assist shekdars.

Two men are employed in the District head-quarter cutcherry in writing registers, &c.

IV. None of these officials have any fixed duties to perform, but they are employed on any duty connected with accounts and registers.

*From the Officiating Commissioner, Nagar Division, to the Superintendent of the Mysore Revenue Survey and Settlement, No.* 478, *dated Camp Davikere,* 17*th November* 1873.

Referring to para 5 of Colonel W. C. Anderson, Survey and Settlement Commissioner's letter No. 1237, dated the 16th August last, to the Officiating Secretary, I have the honor to enclose copy of * communication received from the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District, on the subject of these shanbhogs, and to observe that I presume the matter will be taken up and settled by your Department.

* No. 535 of 4th November 1873.

*Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agricultural and Commerce, 30th October 1874.*

2. The anomaly alluded to would not appear to be singular as regards the Doderi taluk alone, inasmuch as instances will be found of the same practice in other parts of the Province.

---

*Memo by the Survey and Settlement Commissioner, No. 842, dated 17th May 1874.*

These papers are returned to Captain Grant, with a request that after he has visited the taluk, he will report on the use these men can be applied to.

---

*From the Superintendent, Revenue Survey, to the Survey and Settlement Commissioner of Mysore, No. 780, dated Dharwar, 7th October 1874.*

With reference to your Memo No. 842 of the 17th May 1874, I beg to report that the services of the extra shanbhogs of the Doderi taluk, alluded to in the correspondence herewith attached, cannot be utilized as village shanbhogs when additional officiators are required for the reason that the hereditary village shanbhogs will undoubtedly desire to appoint these additional officiators from their own families.

2 I would suggest that the services of these shanbhogs should be dispensed with and a list kept of their names and qualifications, with a view to their services being utilized should any vacancies occur in the redistribution of charges.

---

No. 5527—Rev. 349, dated Bangalore, 30th October 1874.

Order thereon. - It is clear from the foregoing letter that the 14 "Amani" shanbhogs therein referred to are not required under the revised scheme of village servants, and the Chief Commissioner accordingly directs that their services be at once dispensed with on payment of gratuity amounting to three months' pay, as recommended by the Survey Commissioner. A register should be made of the names of such of the present incumbents as may be found competent for employment as taluk gumastas, or as shanbhogs under the new scheme when new offices are created, or in the place of those who die without issue, or whose heirs are otherwise disqualified for the office.

2. The emoluments of the offices now ordered to be abolished should be treated as a portion of the funds made available to meet the cost of the new scheme of remuneration of village servants.

3. Commissioners of Divisions are requested to report whether any supernumerary shanbhogs of the description referred to in this correspondence exist in the taluks of their respective Divisions.

H. WELLESLEY,
*Offg. Secretary.*

(46)

1874

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

REVENUE

TO

THE COMMISSIONERS
OF DIVISIONS.

*Dated October 30th*

*Despatched*

*Received*

No. 5527
R. 349.

Amani Shanbhogs.—Directing that their services be dispensed with.

M.G.C.P.—14-9-99—300

(5)

Chief Draft

To The Secretary to the
Chief Comm.

Sir,

With reference to para
3 of the Chief Comm.'s order
No. 3720 / 349 of 30th October last
I have the honor to forward
a statement showing the
number of Supernu-
merary Chaprasis serv-
ing in the Salaries of
the Division.

2. The Superintt. of the
Kohat District states that
all the supernumerary
Chaprasis in his Dist.
have rights to Superan-
nuation except the
Peshawar and Mankera
Jats of the Chief Talla-
qua Salaries and that
the services of Mankera
Jats have already been
dispensed with, and
Peshawar need only be
retained

From Rawa. ... 6 Feb 94
" Peh. 3000, 836. 3 do
" Tem. 930. ... 25 do
" Rawa. 908. 4 Dec 1894.
" Kohat 1810 / 695. 24 Dec 1894.
" Tem. 750. ... 6 do
" " January 1895

retained until the
Survey operations
have been completed
in that Talook.
3. I would recom-
mend that the Services
of the Amani Clerks
of the Settled Talooks
of Nundkur and the
three Settled Polliers
of the Bangalore Div.,
do also all those at
present being so work,
may be dispensed
with. The remaining
Clerks to be left
undisturbed until
the Settlement takes
place, as they have
got work to do under
present arrangements.
The case of the men
turned out of employ,
may be dealt with as directed in
Para 1 of the Chief
Commrs Order under
Reference, in the matter

A

53

of Statutory and future
Employment.-

Yours &c

12/12

Comm. G.P.O.

General.

No. 282.

1875.

From The Commissioner, Nerbudda Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 16th February

Despatched

Received 19

ENCLOSURES NO. 1.

General No. 282 } 1875.

[illegible]

In my [illegible] proposal [illegible] a good one and may be sanctioned. I am also of opinion that [illegible] the determination of [illegible] charges and their [illegible] should be left to the Local Revenue Officers.

[illegible] Chief Commissioner's department [illegible] give [illegible] emolument according to [illegible] scale.

Reg. 20-2-75.

No. 1282 (55)

Vizagapatam Division
Commissioner's Office.
Vizagapatam 16th February 1883.

To,

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner of
Mysore.

Sir,

In returning the Petition from the Ryots of Kurdiwada Taluk received under cover of your Office Memo. No. 7150/21-269 of 6th ultimo, I have the honor to submit copy of a communication from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, from which, it would appear that the complaint of the Petitioners is well founded and deserves consideration.

2. I am therefore to request that the said complaint of a Cowrie cultivator that the arrangement proposed by Mr. Krishnaiyar, may be authorized in

No. 2120/585 - 21-2-1883

in all successors it can be carried out with facility, and without objection on the part of the Chancellors concerned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient

Servant

Conveyancer

No. 2159
8/2/

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Tolar District.
Tolar 21st January 1875.

To,

The Commissioner
Nundydroog Div.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your circular No. 7829 of the 15th Instant, forwarding for report Director of Ind. Cir. No. 7189 of the 6th Idem, giving rise to a petition from the ryots of the Cardamum Hills complaining of the oppression they are subjected to by there being two Shanbogs, one Mal or local and the other Inam, for one and the same village, and praying that one of the appointments may be abolished, having only one Shanbog for each village.

2. In reply, I beg to state that the request of the Ryots is a very reasonable one, and if their prayer be acceded to,

to, it will not say, raise them to the approximation compatible with honest and persevering the evils of idleness, responsibility, the civil as well as local and similar changes, shifting work and blaming each other with every neglect of duty or fraud is brought to notice.

3. This extract establishes beyond, exists not only in Gadiboua, but also in other Talooks of this and other Districts of the Province which formed Tattoos in former days, and the object of the Policy=fare, in appointing Tattoo Handys, appears to have been to excise some check over the civil Handys, especially with regard to Patteyes and Tattoos where there is much room for speculation. As the Patteyes have no proper receipts in Settled Talooks, and is gradually disappearing in the unsettled Talooks also, for the time, Shanthappa remarks when some proposition in the old

arrangements

(52) arrangement may easily be made. The measure ~~which~~ proved proviso is that, whenever the same claim and Kindar Pandaya had the Office of Thandaya of several villages jointly, the village may be divided between them with reference to the emoluments enjoyed by each, thereby holding one party responsible for the villages allotted to him. I adopted this arrangement in several instances, where was no change of the Pandaya of a^{ge}, and it has long been my wish to carry it out here also, but as it has been ordered that the union of the village establishment, should be kept to the Survey department, the matter has been left in abeyance.

4. In the Revenue Office, Mem^{m} of 7th May 1895 of the 1st instant, received by same, had morning direct, forwarding a similar petition from the ryots of Vizagapatam, Cuddapah, Kistna, Nellore & Tanjore Pandayas

Salaam

Tobago, it is decided that the Schedules referred to in the petition may be come extent the remainder with, where necessary, and they to be signed under the Seal, to be understood by Tues that the revision of the Abandoned Establishment may be made without waiting for the Survey, and if so whether the arrangement proposed by me may be carried out.

5. The Proceedings Office Memo: and the petitions are herewith returned.

I have &c.

(Signed) [illegible]

Colonial Secretary

Copy

[illegible]

Colonial Secretary

General — 1875. No. 8853 / 326

From

~~The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore~~

To

The Commr. of the Nundidroog Division

Dated 3rd March

Despatched — " —

Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 8853 / G No. 326 } 187

Yr letter No. 282 of the 6th February '75 reporting on petition from the ryots of the Gundibundi Patna.

---

With reference to the above acts the Commr. to report whether it will not be possible to arrange with the Chandagne so that petitioners referred to may retain the tenant right.

By order

Secretary

Transmitted to the Commr. " " 3/3

9/659 (3)

12000

No. 9510
342

1875.

~~From~~

To
The Commissioner
of Customs &c.

Dated Pekin 8th March 75

Despatched

Received
May 9 — 4 —

Enclosures No.

General No. 9510
" No. 342

This letter is to say the 8th March 75 informs that the order issued in Despatch 326 of 3rd March 75 was due to overright of [illegible]

File No.

Serial No.

No 9379 of 1905
Ge 592 of 1905 Branch

Nandydroog Division Commissioner's letter No 308 dated the 8th March 1905.

Order ~~[illegible]~~. In reply to the above, the Comm^r is informed that the order issued in docket No 3803/326 dated the 3rd March 1905 were due to an oversight.

2. The proposals of the Dy. Comm^r of Kolar in regard to the sub-division of Ranipet charges are approved of both generally and in the case specially referred to in Mr. Commissioner's letter No 833 of the 21st January last.

Serial No.

By the Commissioner,
Nandydroog Div^n.

Secretary

63

1877. 0.24375

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Tolar District.

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
Duftar ~~General~~ Department.

Dated 14th August 1877

Despatched

Received 14 Aug

ENCLOSURES NO

General No. 24.18
No. 70/25 } 1877—78.

A. Proceedings No. 321/249 dated 9th August.

[illegible] as regards the above, has been [illegible] that the boundary [illegible] the Chief Commissioner [illegible] and [illegible] the Stal and [illegible] Bamachadi [illegible] Chief [illegible] which was [illegible] the Chief Commissioner [illegible] Proceedings No. 29.9/R.342 of 31st March 1875.

2. The names of the following Stal and Sikan Shamlaghat were not given in the list of [illegible] necessary shamlaghats submitted to the Commissioner with this office

No. 180 / 695 dated 24th November 1874 in accordance with the Chief Commissioner's Order assign No. 682 / 349 dated 20th November 1874, because they are not actual cultivators or merely Landholders doing other than their ordinary, but we think are worthily doing their legitimate duties, assigning Cross and Crown.

Deputy Commissioner
Nolan District.

H.A.

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore

(65)

O. No 3432 / 70473 of 21st Dec 1877

Read —

Docket No [illegible] dated [illegible] May 1877 from the Commissioner Nundidroog Division, returning the Proceedings connected with the case of Ramchandra [illegible] [illegible] in the Chickballapur Taluk

Docket No [illegible] dated [illegible] August 1877 from the [illegible] reporting with reference to the enquiry made in this office Docket No 1521 / 2449 dated [illegible] August that the names of Ramachandra [illegible] Shanbhogues [illegible] of [illegible] in the Chickballapur Taluk were not included in the list submitted [illegible]

in accordance with CC's order No 5327 of 30 Oct/76 because 349 they were supernumerary Shanbhogues doing other than Shanbhogue duty but [illegible] Shanbhogues performing [illegible] duties [illegible] and service

To

The Comm[illegible] of Land[illegible]

Sept 2[illegible] 1877

Lenc: Re: in a [illegible]
Pa[illegible]

Duffer
[illegible]

Referred to in the case of
Pennsylvania [illegible] & [illegible]
[illegible] Humbugs
[illegible] in
the [illegible] of the Comp.

[illegible]

No. 382

**Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.**

Defer Defd

# Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. [illegible], dated [illegible] received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from [illegible] is forwarded, together with its [illegible] enclosures to the [illegible]

BANGALORE,

10th January 1873.

"By Order,"

*Secretary.*

Enclosure to letter No 382

To

The Commrs of the
Standard Wts & Mes

of 10 January 1877.

1877. No. 478

From

The Commissioner, Nagpur

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 19th January

Despatched

Received 22nd

ENCLOSURES NO. 2

General No. 4928 } 1876—77.
No. 478

Section

File No

Serial No.

Report on petition from Rama chandriah of Kalamma & 20 Villagers Chitchariapur Tahsil &c.

(69)

No. 492.

Nandidroog Division
Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 19th January 1877.

To
The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore.

Sir

In returning the petition with its two covers from Ramachandraiah Channa of Kalasara and certain other ryots in the Chikballapur Taluk received with your official letter number 1032 of the 10th instant, I have the honor to submit the following report of the case.

II The Petitioners in the Petition are the Chettian Sequeira Nallappajah in the Cities of Kolli Channapa of the majority notes & Ryots in the Chikballapur Taluk. A dispute having arisen between these two Channapas regarding the enjoyment of cheraco dues, the Petitioners were referred for enquiry to Mr. Assistant Commissioner Ramaiah, who, reported to the Deputy Commissioner

that

Kalasara
1. Gangadhalli
2. Bettahalli
3. Anglapanahalli
4. Kodaiahalli
5. Veerabhadrahalli
6. Jadalaiyanahalli

that the Inam enjoyed by the Petitioner and Venkatagopah, was as shown in the Enrolment Register of 1864 Rupees 12-10-1, and Rupees 20-5-0 respectively, besides dry Inam lands valued at 8 Pagodas and 4 Fanams which is equally enjoyed by both the Shanbogs.

III. Mr Ramapah further solicited the Deputy Commissioner's order to an apportionment of the Village Service equally to the whole Inam land to the petitioner and Son of Venkatagopah, the arrangement being equivalent to the emoluments enjoyed by them heretofore.

IV. Mr Kris Iniengar had the parties before him, and considering the papers connected with the dispute, held that the petitioner had entered a high figure as his share of emoluments in the Enrolment Register, but as he was not getting correct from the Ryots and as the Inam land is equally enjoyed by both parties as admitted by them, it seems equitable to adopt the Enrolment also the equally divided between each individual conducting the duties of Shanbog

in

in village allotted to him Seriously.

V. The Deputy Commissioner further observed that under such an arrangement the interference of two Chandogees in a village would not only cause confusion in connection with the discharge of government duties but also be inconvenient to the Ryots. Accordingly he formed the village into two groups as per margin, group No. 1 was given to Petitioner and No. 2 to Ventrayapah.

| Group No. 1 — Names of Village | To Ramachandrah's Share of Circars | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kalavara | 34 | 2 | 0 |
| Koorabahally | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Koodiahally | 28 | 3 | 1 |
| Jadatimmapahally | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | 67 | 13 | 5 |
| Group No. 2 — To Ventrayapah | | | |
| Nylapanahally | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Gantiganahally | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Entchahally | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| | 95 | 0 | 0 |

VI. Petitioner Ramachandrah appealed to Moll Pearce against the above division of village and that Officer passed the following order.

"The Chance lies in the Chandogee respecting in the Chain Lands is necessarily the best guide as regards the other Emoluments to which they were originally entitled, therefore as nearly as possible the Deputy Commissioner should do proportionate as in dividing the village to equalize their income from the circars. He has instead raised the man who formerly had least circars, and, reduced the one who

who had most. A more equal division than this must be practicable and the arrangement should at once be rectified and a more equal distribution of the Villages should be carried out.

VII. On this the Kaisdurai gave evidence the division of the Villages as noted in the margin proved recommended that as Ramachandrah would not consent to this division it should be suspended as the parties would not put their united consent to any settlement.

| Group No. I to Ramachandrah | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Village | children | | |
| 1. Kalavara | 34 | 2 | 10 |
| 2. Ganiganulli | 29 | " | " |
| 3. Kachalulli | 20 | " | " |
| Total | 83 | 2 | 10 |
| Group No. 2 to Vinte Gowda | | | |
| 1. Mylapanulli | 43 | " | " |
| 2. Coodanulli | 28 | 13 | 4 |
| 3. Kurdanulli | 12 | 16 | 1 |
| 4. Jadaginnanulli | 2 | " | " |
| Total | 73 | 10 | 5 |

VIII. Colonel Pearce signified his concurrence in the last mentioned arrangement adding that it was equitable.

IX. The Petitioner has however, again (on the 13th Ultimo) put in a verbal petition which I have recommended for Col. Pearce's disposal. —

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. Elliott

Offg Commissioner.

73

No. 127 of 30th January 1871

Read letter from the Commr. of the Tanjore Division No. 192 of 19 January 1871 reporting on the Petition from Ramachandra Shanbogue of Kalavara in the Chittalapurem Taluk.

---

Order thereon.

~~The Commr. does not show that there was any reason for the appointment of the Village Officer in Shri Krishna Shanbogue — A report of the case passed by the Commr. on receipt of the Petition by Ramachandra should be submitted —~~

It is requested Commr. may be pleased to report the Petitioner's application of Shri Krishna Shanbogue be ~~allowed or other reason for~~

To

The Commr. Tanjore Div.

[illegible]

Dafter Desfitt

To

The standing Comm

of 30 January 1811
expired July 1811

Encl:

No. 42

Receipt for a copy of the
Commissioners order on
Penn & Baudwin & Co

(75)

[illegible]

[illegible] 1877

A copy of the order passed on Sheen was called for to ascertain whether there was any question for the appointment of the village between the Arala and Hill Hamlets. Please see copy not on Monday Dr. Tom's letter of 3rd Inst. From the copy a note was sent it will be seen that Col. Pearce defines to Sheen the order already passed — Col. Pearce

[illegible]

[illegible]

General

1877. No. 1287 / 408

From The Commissioner Bandarban

To Secretary to Chief Commissioner, General Department.

Dated [illegible]

Despatched [illegible]

Received [illegible]

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 537 } 1876—77.

Please for sir the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. [illegible] dated the 30th October 1876 [illegible] copy of the order passed by the Commissioner of Chittagong [illegible] Chief Commissioner.

[illegible]

Commissioner

[illegible]

[illegible]

(75)

Review petition from Ramachandrah Adaigar of Kaiwara & 2 villages in the Coimbatore Talook.

Order thereon

The case has been much fully considered. I decline to review the order passed

Lines office of Jn
Bangalore 28 Jan 1877

Lieut Col Pearse
Commr & Jn

Copy

R. Hayes
Secy
for Commissioner & Jn

Dispatch Department

1877.

From

To The Commr of Kandiang

Dated 3rd March 1877

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 12175 / No. 10 } 187 .

Govt Dispatch No: 537 dated 6 February 1877.

Requests information of the proceedings on the coast of Ramachandrin, Chagalagun & Kalamara in the Vizagapatam District

From the Revenue Board

Bd Order 1/3

Mr Secy:

[illegible]

Pc no 1102
P 48

1877. 0.1128

From The Commissioner
Murdichoang Div

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 28th
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No

General No. 170 } 1877-78

Secretary: I have the honor to
of March last.

I have the honor to cir-
cumstances the proceedings
connected with the
case of Ramachandrian
Shishagne of Kalava
pass in the Chikhal
la pass Taluk.

AP (9)

Commissioner